

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/622,530	07/18/2003	Gary Flerchinger	0554300-0202	2808
7590 06/29/2005		EXAMINER		
David J. Hill			KEENAN, JAMES W	
Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C. 1000 Tallan Building, Two Union Square			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chattanooga, TN 37402			3652	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/622,530	FLERCHINGER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		James Keenan	3652		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address		
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutingly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing department adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .			
′=	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	*	•		
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) <u>13-19</u> is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 18 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	D⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objeed drawing(s) be held in abeyaction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) [a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage		
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notic 2) Notic 3) Inform Pape	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 7/18/03.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 10/622,530

Art Unit: 3652

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-7, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torimoto et al (US 3,881,613) in view of Schaffler (US 4,096,959).

Torimoto shows refuse collection vehicle 1 comprising chassis 2, detachable storage container 5 having rear wall 28 with opening 13 therein and door 29 movable between open and closed positions relative to the opening, tailgate assembly 7 pivotally mounted to the chassis, including hopper 11b to receive refuse and packer assembly 20 to move refuse from the hopper to the opening 13 when the door 29 is open.

Art Unit: 3652

The door 29 of Torimoto is not a sweep panel designed in conjunction with the packer panel to minimize spilling of refuse from the storage container when the door moves from the open to the closed position.

Schaffler shows a refuse collection vehicle with chassis 1a, container 54 with opening 58 in an end wall thereof and sweep panel 70 movable between open and closed positions, hopper 60 to receive refuse and packer assembly 4 to move refuse from the hopper to the opening 58 when sweep panel 70 is in the open position, wherein the sweep panel and the hopper are configured to minimize spilling of refuse from the container when the sweep panel moves from the open to the closed position.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the apparatus of Torimoto by configuring the door as a sweep panel designed to minimize spilling of refuse, as suggested by Schaffler, as this would allow improved loading as well as decreasing the complexity of the packer assembly.

Re claim 7, the tailgate assembly of Torimoto can be pivoted "forward", as broadly claimed, as shown in figure 1 (phantom).

Re claim 10, the wall 94 of the container of Schaffler opposite the hopper is hinged at the top. To have added this feature to the apparatus of Torimoto would have been a design expediency to enhance unloading. Note that even though it is not the front wall, since it is the wall opposite the hopper, in the Torimoto apparatus it would be analogous to the front wall.

Art Unit: 3652

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Torimoto in view of Schaffler, as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Winter (US 4,986,716), cited by applicant.

The modified Torimoto apparatus does not show the tailgate assembly to be pivoted to a rearward position such that it is supported by a roller when the container is removed.

Winter shows a similar type of refuse collection vehicle wherein a tailgate assembly is supported by a roller 119 when pivoted to a rearward position to allow a detachable storage container to be removed.

It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have further modified the apparatus of Torimoto by adding a roller to the tailgate assembly so that it could be pivoted to a ground engaging rearward position during container removal, as shown by Winter, as this would allow easier and less complex movement during container replacement.

- 5. Claims 13-19 are allowed.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Keenan whose telephone number is 571-272-6925. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eileen Lillis can be reached on 571-272-6928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

്ames Keenan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3652

jwk 6/21/05